

REMARKS

This amendment is further to the response previously mailed on March 7, 2005 and the subsequent telephone conference between Examiner Alina Boutah and Applicant's Representative, Christopher Lutz, on March 15, 2005. In view of the claim amendments and remarks herein, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

Claim 1-2, 6, 10-16, 19 and 22-45 are now pending in this Application. Claims 1-2, 6, 9-16, 19 and 22-45 stand rejected. Claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33 and 42 are independent claims and the remaining claims are dependent claims. In this Amendment, claims 1, 6, 14, 19, 27, 29, 31, 38, 42, 44 and 45 been amended. Applicant(s) believe that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance. A notice to this affect is respectfully requested.

In the previous submission of March 7, 2005, Applicant's response argued that the amended claim set distinguishes the presently claimed invention by reciting that the first set of information is a web page that is distinguishable from the Cohen '164 system which operates on specialized voice-enabled web pages and voicelinks associated with such pages (Cohen, [0011]). As discussed during the above-mentioned conference, independent claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33 and 42 have been herein amended to clarify the origin of the first set of information by reciting that the retrieved web page includes the first set of information, to further clarify and distinguish the present claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33 and 42 over Cohen.

Applicant's previous March 7 submission also submitted that the second set of information was filtered output extracted room the web page. In contrast, the cited Cohen '164 system employs a browser for navigating among web pages which are audio-enabled and voice-enabled, configured according to a user specific profile [0030]. The present claim 1 recites a system for generating a second set of information (i.e. audible web page output) from a first set of

information and the tagged document. In support of the rejection, the Examiner cites paragraphs 0011 and 0012 of Cohen. Cohen, however, discloses generating output including such audio enabled web pages and links to further pages. Nowhere in Cohen '164 is shown or disclosed such output including a second set of information (i.e. audible web page output) from a first set of information and the tagged document. Such a second set of information may be, for example, text data suitable for audible output via a text to speech application, as described at page 9, lines 10-17. To further clarify, applicant has herein amended independent claims to recite that the second set of information includes subsets of the web page as defined by the filtering document.

During the aforementioned telephone conference, it was suggested that the Saylor reference teaches the claimed tagged document. In further detail, the cited Cohen '164 system employs a browser for navigating among web pages which are audio-enabled and voice-enabled, configured according to a user specific profile [0030]. The present claim 1, however, recites a system for generating a second set of information (i.e. audible web page output) from a first set of information and the tagged document. In support of the rejection, the Examiner cites paragraphs 0011 and 0012 of Cohen. Cohen, however, discloses generating output including such audio enabled web pages and links to further pages. Nowhere in Cohen '164 is shown or disclosed such output including a second set of information (i.e. audible web page output) from a first set of information and the tagged document. Such a second set of information may be, for example, text data suitable for audible output via a text to speech application, as described at page 9, lines 10-17.

The Office Action further acknowledges that Cohen '124 does not disclose a tagged document, but also cites Saylor '832 as disclosing such a document. Applicant respectfully disagrees with this conclusion. The cited sections of Saylor '832 disclose a voice-XML document "or other voice XML file format" (18:56-57). The claimed tagged document is an application defining document 307 associated with a filtering document page 302 (page 27:12-16). Such an

-19-

application defining document is distinguishable from the cited Saylor documents because the application defining document 307 includes media content and control information, as described at page 23, lines 15-16. In contrast, the claimed filtering documents encompassed by the tagged documents do not include control information, but rather a mapping or matching of patterns or keys in the web page sought for audio conversion. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33 and 42 have been herein amended to recite that the audible output is generated based on predetermined expected patterns in the filtering document, as described in detail in the specification at page 31:5-9 and shown in Fig. 9.

As the remaining claims depend, either directly or indirectly from claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33 and 42, which by the foregoing remarks and amendments are respectfully submitted as being allowable, all claims in the case are therefore submitted as now being in condition for allowance.

Applicant(s) hereby petition(s) for any extension of time which is required to maintain the pendency of this case. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0901.

-20-

If the enclosed papers or fees are considered incomplete, the Patent Office is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned collect at (508) 366-9600, in Westborough, Massachusetts.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher J. Lutz, Esq.
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No.: 44,883
CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C.
Westborough Office Park
1700 West Park Drive
Westborough, Massachusetts 01581
Telephone: (508) 366-9600
Facsimile: (508) 616-9805
Customer No.: 022468

Attorney Docket No.: CIS00-2410

Dated: March 16, 2005